(Spl.-H.C.A.S.,C.D.,78-e)

FADRAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.
IN THE HIGH COURT OF BOMBAY AT GOA
APPELLATE SIDE

WRIT PETITION NO.11 OF 1999

Office Note, Office Memoranda of Quorum, appearances, Court's orders or directions and Registrar's orders.	Court's or Judge's Orders

Mr. M.S. Sonak, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr.A.N.S. Nadkarni, Advocate General with Mr. H.R. Bharne, Govt. Advocate for the respondents No.1 and 2.

Mr.S.D. Padiyar, Advocate for respondent No.3.

Mr. A.Borkar, Advocate for respondent No.4.

CORAM : N.N. MHATRE &
P.V. HARDAS, JJ.

DATE : JANUARY 07, 2004.

P.C.:

After hearing the learned Counsel for the parties for sometime, Mr. Padiyar, learned Counsel appearing on behalf of the third respondent states that at present respondent No.3 is not in need of the land of the petitioners which has been acquired for the construction of the road. In view of this statement of the learned

Counsel for the third respondent, Mr. A.N.S. Nadkarni, learned Advocate General on behalf of the respondents No.1 and 2 states that the Government shall not proceed further with the acquisition of the petitioners' land though declaration under Section 6 of the L.A. Act has been issued and an Award to that effect has been passed.

2. In view of this statement, Mr. Sonak, learned Counsel for the petitioners states that he does not wish to proceed further with the writ petition as the grievance of petitioner stands redressed. In view of this, the petition is dismissed as withdrawn on the statement of the learned Advocate General on behalf of the respondents No.1 and 2. The undertaking given by the petitioners, through their Counsel, that they would not claim any compensation from any

authorities whatsoever for the acquisition of land, is accepted as an undertaking to the Court and the petition is dismissed as withdraw.

NISHITA MHATRE, J.

P.V. HARDAS, J.

ssm.